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1 Summary
This appendix describes the Health Policy Commission’s (HPC) approach to examining post-acute care in the “Post-Acute Care” chartpack of the 2017 Cost Trends Report.

2 Comparing post-acute care use in Massachusetts and the U.S.

2.1 Data
For Exhibits 1: PAC discharges, all DRGs, all payers, 2014 HPC used the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project’s (HCUP) 2014 Massachusetts State Inpatient and National Inpatient Sample to create a dataset that included patients discharged to routine care or some form of post-acute care (PAC). HCUP uses the following discharge destinations: “home health care,” “routine,” “skilled nursing facilities (SNF)”, “intermediate care facility (ICF)”, and “short-term hospital.” HPC grouped these into the following categories:
  1. Routine: (“routine”)
  2. Home health care: (“home health care”)

2.2 Analysis
HPC evaluated the distribution of discharges by total discharges and also grouped results by payer: Medicaid, Medicare, and Commercial.

3 Comparing PAC use in Massachusetts over time

3.1 Data
For Exhibit 2: Adjusted percentage of discharges to post-acute care, all DRGs, 2010-2016, HPC used the Center for Health Information and Analysis’ (CHIA) Hospital Inpatient Discharge Database (HIDD) 2010-2016 to compare rates of PAC discharges. HPC limited our sample to Massachusetts residents who were at least 18 years of age with the following discharge destinations in Case Mix: home/routine, long-term care hospital, rehabilitation facility or hospital, rehabilitation hospital, skilled nursing facility, home health agency, and home/IV therapy. Due to coding inconsistencies in certain years, UMass Memorial Medical Center, Clinton, Marlborough, Cape Cod, and Falmouth hospitals were removed from the time trend analyses. HPC also limited the analysis to DRGs that had at least ten discharges in every year from 2010 to 2016. Based on input from providers, HPC concluded that distinctions between discharges to “skilled nursing facility” versus “inpatient rehabilitation facility” versus “long-term
care hospital” were not coded accurately enough to ensure meaningful results by this level of provider type. Therefore, HPC grouped Case Mix discharges into the following categories:

1. Routine: (“home/routine”)
2. Home health care: (“home health agency” and “home/IV therapy”)
3. Institutional: (“long-term care hospital” / “rehabilitation facility or hospital” and “rehabilitation hospital”/ “skilled nursing facility”)

3.2 Analysis
For the adjusted PAC rate per year, HPC adjusted for change in case mix over time. To do so, HPC used ordinary least squares (OLS) to estimate a time trend controlling for age, sex, and changes in the mix of diagnosis-related groups (DRGs) over time. Time effects were modeled on a per-year basis.

4 Comparing PAC discharges from high volume hospitals in Massachusetts

4.1 Data
To estimate institutional PAC discharges by hospital (Exhibit 3: Adjusted institutional discharge rates for 30 highest volume hospitals, 2016), HPC used the Center for Health Information and Analysis’ (CHIA) Hospital Inpatient Discharge Database (HIDD) 2010-2016 to compare rates of institutional PAC discharges.

4.2 Analysis
HPC ranked the 30 hospitals with the highest volume of discharges by percentage of discharges to institutional post-acute care in 2016. HPC used OLS to adjust for major diagnostic category, age, sex, admission source and primary payer. Several acute care hospitals (UMass Memorial Medical Center, Clinton Hospital, Cape Cod Hospital, Falmouth Hospital, Marlborough Hospital) were excluded due to coding irregularities in the database.

5 Comparing changes in PAC discharges from high volume hospitals in Massachusetts

5.1 Data
To estimate institutional PAC discharges by hospital (Exhibit 4: Change in adjusted institutional discharge rate by hospital), HPC used the Center for Health Information and Analysis’ (CHIA) Hospital Inpatient Discharge Database (HIDD) 2010-2016 to compare rates of institutional PAC discharges.

5.2 Analysis
HPC ranked the 30 hospitals with the highest volume of discharges by the change in each hospital’s percentage of discharges to institutional post-acute care between 2013 and 2016. HPC
used OLS to adjust for major diagnostic category, age, sex, admission source and primary payer. Several acute care hospitals (UMass Memorial Medical Center, Clinton Hospital, Cape Cod Hospital, Falmouth Hospital, Marlborough Hospital) were excluded due to coding irregularities in the database.