

CHARLES D. BAKER GOVERNOR

KARYN E. POLITO LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS Office of Consumer Affairs and Business Regulation DIVISION OF BANKS 1000 Washington Street, 10TH Floor, Boston, MA 02118-6200 (617) 956-1500 · Fax (617) 956-1599 · TDD (617) 956-1577 www.Mass.Gov/DOB

JAY ASH SECRETARY OF HOUSING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

JOHN C. CHAPMAN UNDERSECRETARY

TERENCE A. MCGINNIS COMMISSIONER

DECISION ESTABLISHING CERTAIN MAXIMUM DISHONORED CHECK FEES AT MASSACHUSETTS STATE-CHARTERED INSTITUTIONS MAY 24, 2017

This decision establishes the maximum allowable fee Massachusetts state-chartered banks and credit unions may assess certain consumer deposit accounts for processing dishonored checks, otherwise referred to as deposit return items (DRI), under Massachusetts General Laws chapter 167D, section 6 and Massachusetts General Laws chapter 171, section 41A.¹ The maximum fee set by this decision shall remain in effect from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018, or until such time as the Division of Banks (Division) issues its 2018 DRI fee decision.

2017 DRI Determination

The 2017 DRI fee is based upon deposit return item cost data independently obtained from a sample of state-chartered banks and credit unions. The Division collected this data during the course of its regularly scheduled financial safety and soundness examinations under G. L. c.167, s.2. The sample of institutions reasonably reflects industry differences in asset size, charter type, geographical location, and DRI processing procedures. The Division used three years of DRI cost data to determine the maximum allowable fee under Massachusetts General Laws chapter 167D, section 6 and Massachusetts General Laws

¹ These statutes govern the consumer deposit accounts of state-chartered banks and credit unions, respectively. The statutes were inserted by St. 1997, c.178, ss.1-2 as amended by St. 2014, c.482, s.35. The provisions are substantially identical. Addressing the actual costs incurred by a bank or credit union for processing a dishonored check, the act provides in pertinent part, "that a bank [or credit union] may assess a reasonable fee, charge or assessment that represents its direct costs, as established annually by the commissioner of banks, incurred for processing such check, draft or money order." (emphasis added)

chapter 171, section 41A. The data collected from the Division's examination sampling process is summarized below.

A total of 72 institutions were surveyed. This sample included 36 banks and 36 credit unions.² The cost of processing deposit returned items ranged from \$1.21 to \$30.38 per item. The average cost to process a deposit return item was \$8.39 for banks and credit unions combined. Banks had an average cost of \$7.43 and credit unions had an average cost of \$9.35. The median cost of all institutions was \$7.23 per item. The time for processing a DRI ranged from 1 to 80 minutes.

Conclusion

The maximum allowable fee Massachusetts state-chartered banks and credit unions may assess certain consumer deposit accounts for processing dishonored checks or DRI items under Massachusetts General Laws chapter 167D, section 6 and Massachusetts General Laws chapter 171, section 41A, respectively, shall be \$7.23. This fee determination shall be in effect from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018, or until such time as the Division issues its 2018 DRI fee decision.

State-chartered institutions are advised that the above DRI fee is the maximum fee permitted by law. Institutions, however, may elect to impose a lower DRI fee or to waive the fee for their customers.

May 24, 2017

Verence a. Nec Ginnie

Terence A. McGinnis Commissioner of Banks

² The list of institutions surveyed includes 10 cooperative banks, 22 savings banks, 4 commercial banks, and 36 credit unions. Asset sizes of all the institutions surveyed ranged from approximately \$1 million to \$4 billion. The total assets of all institutions sampled is approximately \$49.6 billion. The survey was conducted during regular safety and soundness examinations over a three-year time span between January 1, 2014 and December 31, 2016. Institutions selected were done so solely on the basis of examination scheduling. As a result, the components of the sample are randomly derived and are not necessarily a representative sample of all institutions. The examiners independently collected the information after discussing the particular institution's DRI practices and procedures with relevant institution personnel. All collected data was reviewed for reasonableness.